

Legacy Parkway
I-215 at 2100 North in Salt Lake City
to I-15 and US-89 near Farmington
in Salt Lake and Davis Counties, Utah

Final
Supplemental Environmental Impact
Statement/Reevaluation
and Section 4(f), 6(f) Evaluation

Submitted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c), 49 U.S.C. 303, and 33 C.F.R. 325, App B,
by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Cooperating Agencies: U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency, and Federal Transit Administration

State Highway Agency/Section 404 Permit Applicant: Utah Department of Transportation

November 2005

21 OCT 2005
Date

19 OCT 2005
Date

Steven A. Call
For the Federal Highway Administration

Andrew J. Rose
For the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

 The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document:

Gregory Punske, P.E.
Environmental Program Manager
FHWA Utah Division
2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A
Salt Lake City, UT 84118
Telephone: (801) 963-0182

Nancy Kang, Chief, Utah Regulatory Office
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Utah Regulatory Office
533 West 2600 South, Suite 150
Bountiful, UT 84010
Telephone: (801) 295-8380, Ex.14

Abstract

Based on the results of the reevaluation of alternatives considered in the previous Final EIS completed in June 2000, the Utah Department of Transportation proposes the construction of a four-lane, limited-access, divided highway extending 22.5 kilometers (14 miles) from Interstate 215 (I-215) in Salt Lake City north to I-15 and U.S. Highway 89 (US-89) in Farmington. The primary purpose of this Legacy Parkway project is to help meet existing and projected travel demand through 2020 in the North Corridor by providing additional north-south transportation capacity. Five alternatives are considered in detail in the Supplemental EIS: the No-Build Alternative; Modified Alternatives A, B, and C; and Alternative E. Alternative E follows the same alignment as the Final EIS Preferred Alternative, Alternative D, but has a narrower right-of-way width than Alternative D and includes features to integrate it with mass transit. Updated information is provided on environmental impacts in over 20 categories. As described in the previous Final EIS, key impacts would occur on wetlands, wildlife habitat, relocations, community cohesion, farmland, and cost. Mitigation measures to reduce the levels of impacts are also described herein.

Comments on the Final Supplemental EIS are due by December 12, 2005, to Gregory Punske (FHWA) at the above address.